Eval 1	Eval 2	Eval 3	Comments:
Eval	Eval 2	Eval 3	Comments:

Koder

Etech 360

1. Demonstrated Competence	20	20	20
 Experience in performance of comparable engagements 	18	19	12
 Expertise and availability of key personnel 	18	20	16
4. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	15	15	13
5. Reasonableness of Cost	18	18	20
6. In-state/Out-of-State	0	0	0
Financial Stability (pass/fail)	Р	р	р
	. 89	92	81

		Eval 1	Eval 2	Eval 3	Comments
Studio Center	1. Demonstrated Competence	18	18	20	
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	17	16	12	
	3. Expertise and availability of key personnel	18	17	18	
	4. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	15	14	11	
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	19	19	20	
	6. In-state/Out-of-State	0	0	0	
	Financial Stability (pass/fail)	Р	P	P	1
		87	84	81	

Eval 1 Eval 2 Eval 3 Comments:

1. Demonstrated Competence	18	18	20
2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	16	18	17

 Expertise and availability of key personnel 	19	20	19
4. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	14	15	13
5. Reasonableness of Cost	20	20	20
6. In-state/Out-of-State	0	0	0
Financial Stability (pass/fail)	p 87	р 91	р 89

Eval 1 Eval 2

Comments:

Eval 3

1. Demonstrated Competence	20	18	20
 Experience in performance of comparable engagements 	20	20	20
 Expertise and availability of key personnel 	20	20	20
4. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	15	15	15
5. Reasonableness of Cost	20	19	20
6. In-state/Out-of-State	0	0	0
Financial Stability (pass/fail)	р	р	р
	95	92	95

Juice Box

Eval 1 Eval 2

Comments:

Eval 3

1. Demonstrated Competence	17	20	17
 Experience in performance of comparable engagements 	14	16	12
 Expertise and availability of key personnel 	15	20	10
4. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	13	13	0

EMRG

5. Reasonableness of Cost	10	10	10
6. In-state/Out-of-State	0	0	0
Financial Stability (pass/fail)	р 69	р 79	р 49

Eval 3 Comments:

1. Demonstrated Competence	19	17	20	
 Experience in performance of comparable engagements 	20	20	20	
 Expertise and availability of key personnel 	19	19	20	
4. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	14	14	15	
5. Reasonableness of Cost	17	17	20	Add on's
6. In-state/Out-of-State	5	5	5	
Financial Stability (pass/fail)	р	Р	р	
	94	92	100	

Eval 1 Eval 2

Eval 3 Comments:


1. Demonstrated Competence	17	17	20
			_
2. Experience in performance of	10	4.4	4.0
comparable engagements	12	14	12
3. Expertise and availability of key	14	14	17
personnel	14	14	17
4. Conformance with the terms of	12	12	10
the RFP	12	12	10
5. Reasonableness of Cost	20	20	20
6. In-state/Out-of-State	0	0	0
Financial Stability (nana/fail)	1		
Financial Stability (pass/fail)	р	р	р
	75	77	79

MobiKasa

Estipona

	Eval 1		Eval 2		Eval 3	Comments:
1. Demonstrated Competence		20		18	20	
Experience in performance of comparable engagements		15		12	19	
 Expertise and availability of key personnel 		15	,	12	20	
4. Conformance with the terms of the RFP		15	1	18	14	
5. Reasonableness of Cost		0		1	0	
6. In-state/Out-of-State		0		0	0	
Financial Stability (pass/fail)	р		р		р	1
	-	65	6	51	73	
	Eval 1		Eval 2		Eval 3	Comments
1. Demonstrated Competence		15	4	20	15	
 Experience in performance of comparable engagements 		10	,	17	O	
 Expertise and availability of key personnel 		10	ſ	12	7	
4. Conformance with the terms of the RFP		15		18	10	-
5. Reasonableness of Cost		13		18	10	
6. In-state/Out-of-State		0		0	0	-
Financial Stability (pass/fail)	р	63	p {	35	р 42	J
	Eval 1		Eval 2		Eval 3	Comments
1. Demonstrated Competence		17		17	20	
 Experience in performance of comparable engagements 		18		18	20	
 Expertise and availability of key personnel 		17	,	16	20	
4. Conformance with the terms of the RFP		18	2	20	15	
5. Reasonableness of Cost		18		19	20	-

WebDesigner 23



Score Demonstrated Competence 20 Experience in performance of comparable engagements 20 Expertise and availability of key personnel 20 Conformance with the terms of the RFP 15 Reasonableness of Cost 20 Financial Stability (pass/fail) In-State/Out-of-State Vendor 5

